

“You gotta understand the context of this though”: Preliminary insights on perceptions of trash talk across esports communities

Sidney Irwin

Central Queensland University
44 Greenhill Road, Wayville SA
sidney.irwin@cquemail.com

Anjum Naweed, Michele Lastella

Appleton Institute, Central Queensland University
44 Greenhill Road, Wayville SA
anjum.naweed@cqu.edu.au, m.lastella@cqu.edu.au

Keywords

Trash Talk, Esports, Online Forums, CS:GO, Overwatch, Rainbow Six: Siege

INTRODUCTION

Esports has become a thriving digital environment where spectators congregate together, through online streaming services, to watch their favourite professional player, or team, compete (Hamilton et al., 2014; Xiao, 2020). As a growing environment, research has been attentive towards understanding the consumptive needs of esports spectators (Qian et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020). Though this research has primarily focused on spectatorship during esports tournaments, studies have also acknowledged the use of public, online forums as an effective medium for fans to support and engage in their favoured communities (Brown et al., 2018; Cheung and Huang, 2011). These online forums serve as an *information media* where consumers can gather, discuss and debate topics relevant to esports (Kow and Young, 2013; Xiao, 2020). Of particular note, Cheung and Huang (2011) observed how spectators use these forums to react and “judge” behaviours which can go against the social norms shared within given esports communities. One example of a divisive practice is trash talk.

Trash talk is a contentious act where the boundaries and attitudes towards its practice tend to differ across conventional sporting communities (Conmy et al., 2013; Rainey and Granito, 2010; Trammel et al., 2017). Trash talk is also known to be a prevalent part of casual video gaming culture, although it lacks direct contribution to gameplay (Nakamura, 2012), meaning that trash talking does not enhance individual gaming skill. However, research into the perspectives of trash talk in esports is currently very limited.

This study aimed to examine what factors govern perceptions of trash talk in esports. Specifically, this study investigated trash talk across three popular First Person Shooter (FPS) esports communities – *Counter Strike: Global Offensive* (CS:GO) (Hidden Path Entertainment, 2012), *Overwatch* (Blizzard Entertainment, 2015) and *Rainbow Six: Siege* (Ubisoft Montreal, 2015). Adopting methods from previous forum-based research (Cheung and Huang, 2011; Hawkins and Filtness, 2017), this

Proceedings of DiGRA Australia 2021

© 2021 Authors & Digital Games Research Association DiGRA. Personal and educational classroom use of this paper is allowed, commercial use requires specific permission from the author.

project obtained data by collecting quotes within online, public esports forums, primarily via Reddit. A total of 1,724 comments were gathered. Inclusion and exclusion methods to extract data were formulated based on key terms used in previous literature (e.g. Eveslage and Delaney, 1998; Rainey, 2012) and distinctive jargon observed within the esportsing communities (Seo, 2013). Using NVivo (a software used for qualitative analysis), data were categorised through descriptive coding (Saldana, 2014), then analysed thematically (Gibson and Brown, 2009) to identify distinctive relationships between elements of trash talk in esports.

Overall, the preliminary results revealed multifaceted discussions in accordance with five broad themes, each of which were interpreted as factors which governed trash talk in esports. These were as follows:

1. Forms of Trash Talk Witnessed in Esports;
2. Discussion on the Social Norms of Trash Talk;
3. Consideration of Cultural and Social Influences;
4. Reflection of Community and Personal Bias towards the Practice of Trash Talk; and
5. Interpretation of Esport Narratives and the Personas of Professional Players.

The first theme captured moments when professional players engaged in trash talk both in (e.g. during the tournament) and out (e.g. on social media) of the game. The second theme identified how the acceptability or unacceptability of a given instance of trash talk varied, and revealed that this depended on various factors such as the time and place of occurrence, the context underlying its practice, and when it was considered to become “excessive”. The third theme pointed to understandings of the multicultural and professional environment of esports as factors influencing whether professional players engaged in trash talk. The fourth theme revealed that the opinions of trash talk shared through online forums were guided by various individual factors. This included one’s personal experience of trash talk when playing online, social identification and “fandom” within esports teams (e.g. expressing a favoured view of trash talk if it was directed or exchanged by a professional player who was admired by the community), and the tendency to debate the similarities or differences between esports and conventional sports. The last theme revealed that trash talk formed and promoted rivalries between esports teams and developed “story arcs” which could continue across tournaments. These rivalries were discussed through simulations of “hero” and “villain” personas, and underlined apparent intimacies between among professional players.

While preliminary, these insights go some way to revealing the nuances and complexity of trash talk in FPS esports. These findings can be used to guide future research to triangulate and broaden the understanding of trash talk in esports.

BIO

Sidney Irwin is a PhD candidate at the School of Health, Medical and Applied Sciences at Central Queensland University. She received her bachelor of psychological science (with Honours) from the same university. She is currently working on a dissertation exploring more on the psychological aspects of esports.

Anjum Naweed is an associate professor of Applied Cognitive Science at the Appleton Institute for Behavioural Science in South Australia. He received his PhD in psychology from Sheffield University, United Kingdom. His focus on decision-making and knowledge representation is concerned with the relationship between people and technologies, tools, environments, and systems, beginning with the user experience.

Dr. Michele Lastella is a sleep researcher at the Appleton Institute for Behavioural Science at CQUniversity Adelaide, South Australia. His primary area of expertise is sleep, recovery, sport psychology, psychological well-being and performance. Being a former elite athlete Dr. Lastella brings experience from both an athlete perspective as well as a researcher's perspective. He has worked with several elite sporting organisations such as the Australian Institute of Sport and South Australian Institute of Sport examining sleep, recovery, and performance.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Blizzard Entertainment. (2015) *Overwatch*. [Video Game]. Irvine, California: Blizzard Entertainment.
- Brown KA, Billings AC, Murphy B, et al. (2018) Intersections of Fandom in the Age of Interactive Media: eSports Fandom as a Predictor of Traditional Sport Fandom. *Communication & Sport* 6: 418-435.
- Cheung G and Huang J. (2011) Starcraft from the stands: understanding the game spectator. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: ACM, 763-772.
- Conny B, Tenenbaum G, Eklund R, et al. (2013) Trash talk in a competitive setting: Impact on self-efficacy and affect. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 43: 1002-1014.
- Eveslage S and Delaney K. (1998) Talkin' Trash at Hardwick High: A Case Study of Insult Talk on a Boys' Basketball Team. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport* 33: 239-253.
- Gibson WJ and Brown A. (2009) *Working with qualitative data*, London, UK: Sage Publications.
- Hamilton WA, Garretson O and Kerne A. (2014) Streaming on twitch: fostering participatory communities of play within live mixed media. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: ACM, 1315-1324.
- Hawkins AN and Filtner AJ. (2017) Driver sleepiness on YouTube: A content analysis. *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 99: 459-464.
- Hidden Path Entertainment. (2012) *Counter Strike: Global Offensive*. [Video Game]. Bellevue, WA: Valve Cooperation.
- Kow YM and Young T. (2013) Media technologies and learning in the starcraft esports community. *Proceedings of the 2013 conference on Computer supported cooperative work*. San Antonio, Texas, USA: ACM, 387-398.
- Nakamura L. (2012) It's a nigger in here! kill the nigger! User-Generated Media Campaigns Against Racism, Sexism, and Homophobia in Digital Games. *The international encyclopedia of media studies*: 2-15.

- Qian TY, Wang JJ, Zhang JJ, et al. (2020) Fulfilling the Basic Psychological Needs of Esports Fans: A Self-Determination Theory Approach. *Communication & Sport*.
- Rainey DW. (2012) Sport's officials' reports of hearing trash talk and their responses to trash talk. *Journal of Sport Behavior* 35: 78-93.
- Rainey DW and Granito V. (2010) Normative Rules for Trash Talk among College Athletes: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Sport Behavior* 33: 276-294.
- Saldana J. (2014) *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*, Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- Seo Y. (2013) Electronic sports: A new marketing landscape of the experience economy. *Journal of Marketing Management* 29: 1542-1560.
- Tang T, Kucek J and Toepfer S. (2020) Active Within Structures: Predictors of Esports Gameplay and Spectatorship. *Communication & Sport*.
- Trammel R, Van Raalte JL, Brewer BW, et al. (2017) Coping with verbal gamesmanship in golf: The PACE model. *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action* 8: 163-172.
- Ubisoft Montreal. (2015) Tom Clancy's Rainbow Six Siege. [Video Game]. Montreal, Canada: Ubisoft.
- Xiao M. (2020) Factors Influencing eSports Viewership: An Approach Based on the Theory of Reasoned Action. *Communication & Sport* 8: 92-122.